#### **UNCLASSIFIED**

## AD NUMBER AD318492 LIMITATION CHANGES TO: Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. FROM: Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Administrative/Operational Use; 06 JUN 1960. Other requests shall be referred to Chemical Warfare Laboratories, Army Chemical Center, MD. **AUTHORITY** ECBC memo dtd 11 Jul 2016

#### **UNCLASSIFIED**

AD NUMBER
AD318492
CLASSIFICATION CHANGES
TO unclassified
FROM  confidential
AUTHORITY
CSL,D/A ltr, 16 May 81

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

# AD 318 492

Reproduced by the

ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY
ARLINGTON HALL STATION
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA



NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.



# AD No 318482 ASTIA FILE COPY

#### CONFIDENTIAL

CWL TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM 33-26

INTERIM REPORT

CWL TRAVERSAL FROM: 'M
PHASE B - PICK-UP
(Effects of Ground Mots

LOAN COPY

RETURN IN 90 DAYS TO

ASTIA

ARLINGTON HALL STATION ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA

A'tn: TISSS

bу

Norman Reich

6 June 1960



"The information in this remorandum is issued for temporary or limited use only, and it may be superseded"

Directorate of Development
U. S. Army Chemical Warfare Laboratories
Army Chemical Center, Maryland

CONFIDENTIAL

J. . (1'

who takes

### UNCLASSIFIED

CWL Technical Memorandum 33-26 CWL Traversal Program Phase B - Pick-UP (Effects of Ground Moisture) Norman Reich

Submitted by:

Robert M. Black Chief, Planning & Evaluation

Recommending approval:

CHARLES E. MILLER Chief, Test Division

\*This document contains information of ether the National Defence of the United States with a manager of the Espionage Laws, Time 48, U. S. S. S. Seina 703 and 794. Its transalision or the reveal ton of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.\*

SAUL HORMATS Director of Development

UNCLASSIFIED

#### ABSTRACT

#### (U) OBJECT.

The object of this test was to estimate and compare the pickof VX on traversal of (1) normal or relatively dry contaminated to (2) contaminated rain-soaked terrain, and (3) terrain rain-soaked ately after the dissemination of agent.

#### (C) RESULTS.

In a series of field trials, the cloth-covered roller was emte determine the transferability of VX from contaminated terrains penetration of agent through cloth. The total pick-up of VX was from terrain which was rain-scaked prior to contamination, and logical from terrain on which heavy rain fell after contamination and beforeversal. The pick-up from normal contaminated terrain was about that from contaminated rain-scaked terrain. The wetness of terrains greatly influenced the penetration of agent. During the traversa terrain, roughly 35% of the agent picked up by the roller was traby the moisture through the outer layer of cloth and was found on bottom or inner layer, as compared to a penetration of less than the traversal of the relatively dry terrain.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	OBJ	12CT
II.	AUT	HORITY
III.	INI	RODUCTORY REMARKS
IV.	EXF	ERIMENTAL
	A.	Procedure
	В.	Regultin

INTERIM REPORT

CWL TRAVERSAL PROGRAM

PHASE B - PICK-UP

(Effects of Ground Moisture)

#### I. (U) OBJECT.

The object of this test was to estimate and compare the pick-up of VX on traversal of (1) normal or relatively dry contaminated terrain, (2) contaminated rain-soaked terrain, and (3) terrain rain-soaked immediately after the dissemination of agent.

#### II. (U) AUTHORITY.

This study was authorized in the fiscal year 1960 Research and Development Program, project no. 4004-15-032, Toxic CW Munitions, and specifically under subproject no. 4004-15-032-14, Techniques for Field Evaluation of CW Munitions.

#### III. (C) INTRODUCTORY REMARKS.

Earlier field trials investigated the transferability of agent from relatively dry vegetated terrain on which VX was disseminated. The results obtained were considered specific for the terrain condition existing during the trials, particularly since laboratory tests indicated that terrain moisture had a pronounced effect on pick-up. To corroborate the laboratory results and investigate further the effects of terrain moisture on pick-up, the field trials described in this memorandum were conducted on the same test site as were the earlier field trials. In these trials, the cloth-covered roller technique was employed. The ability of this technique to reflect, with fair accuracy, the pick-up on troops crawling across contaminated terrain, as when advancing under fire, was proven and ascussed in CWL Technical Memorandum 33-19; subject: Interim Report, CWL Traversal Program, Phase B - "Pick-Up"; dated 10 February 1959.

It should be recognized that the results presented in this document were derived from a rather cursory investigation, and as such are considered interim in nature. Therefore, this information is issued for temporary and limited use only, and may be superseded when additional trials are performed.

#### IV. (C) EXPERIMENTAL.

#### A. Procedure.

The test was performed in accordance with Test Directive for Field Test 2102, subject: Field Test to Determine Effects of Ground Misture on Agent Pick-Up (U), dated 5 February 1959. As prescribed in the test directive, the terrain conditions and the procedure employed were as follows:

#### 1. Contaminated Dry Terrain.

The test site consisting of terrain with a moderately dense covering of short grass was divided into six 2-m by 25-m strips. Each of these strips was subdivided into two one-meter paths. Three strips were contaminated with large droplets of VX generated by the multijet disperser equipped with dropping needles. The remaining three strips were similarly contaminated with VX in shattered or small droplets from the same disseminating device equipped with air-operated spray nozzles. The degree and uniformity of contamination were determined by the use of sampling pans containing isopropanol. These pans were placed raidomly across the width of the test strip, two pans for each 5 m of strip length. Immediately following the dissemination of VX, the solvent in the cans were stirred and poured into individual sampling bottles and forwarded to the laboratory for analysis on the sar a day. The particle size of the large droplets was determined by obtaining the mass of a number of captured droplets, and the particle size distribution of the smaller droplets was determined by measuring the stains produced by the droplets on M6 detector paper.

One-half hour after the start of contamination, one path of each strip was traversed at a fixed rate by a 13-in. diameter roller covered with two layers of laundered, bleached cotton sateen cloth. The second path of each strip was similarly traversed 3 hr later. Immediately after each traversal, each layer of cloth was removed from the roller, stuffed into individual wide-mouthed bottles containing isopropenol, and delivered to the laboratory for analysis on the day of sampling. The quantity of VX on each cloth sample was determined by the DB-3 technique.

#### 2. Contaminated Rain-Soaked Terrain.

The trials described in par 1, above, were repeated on wet terrain as would exist after a heavy rainfell. These trials were performed thice, once during early spring when the terrain was normally wet and once during late spring when the terrain had to be wetted by simulated rainfall prior to the application of agent. The latter trials were performed within days of the trials described in par 1 and 3. This was done to eliminate the seasonal differences in grass density as a variable when comparing pick-up. In the earlier trials, the terrain was visibly saturated with water, and the rainfall necessary to produce this wetness was not known. In the later trials, the amount and uniformity of simulated rainfall was determined from the contents of ten containers placed randomly on each test strip before spraying the terrain with water.

#### 3. Rain-Soaked Contaminated Terrain.

The trials described in par 1, above, were repeated in a modified form. The strips were prepared and contaminated as described; however, immediately after the dissemination of agent and the removal of sampling pans, the ground was subjected to a heavy simulated rainfall. The traversal schedule was modified so that the first path was traversed 1 hr after the agent was disseminated, and the second path 2 hr later.

#### B. Results.

The data derived from this series of trials are presented in tables 1 and 2.

The average particle size of agent disseminated as large droplets was about 2.5 mm, and the small particles had a mass median diameter averaging approximately 0.6 mm. Test strips were contaminated to densities varying from 1.6 to 7.0 g/sq m, and as indicated in the standard deviations in the 10 determinations per strip (see table 1), the uniformity of contamination was less than desired. Strips A through F were relatively dry when contaminated. Strips G through L, which were wetted after contamination, and strips M through R, which were wetted before contamination, were similarly subjected to a 20-min simulated rainfall. In both cases, the quantity of water applied to these strips was equivalent to that produced by a 3/4-in. rainfall.

Strips A through F and M through R were traversed by the cloth-covered roller 1/2 hr and 3-1/2 hr after contamination. Surips G through L were wetted 1/2 hr after contamination, and traversed 1 hr and 3 hr after contamination. Agent disseminated on wet terrain proved to be most efficiently transferred to the roller. On the first traversal, the total pick-up from strips M through R was roughly 30% higher than the pick-up from strips A through F, and 10 times that from strips G through L. On the second traversal, the efficiency of transferability remained in the same order; however, there was a sharp decline in the quantity of agent transferred. Ground moisture aided considerably in transporting agent through the cloth. As determined from the quantity of agent present on the inner or bottom cloth layers, the greatest penetration resulted when contaminated wet terrain was traversed, and the least when normal or dry terrain was traversed.

Trials on strips S through X paralleled those performed on strips M th. sugh R. Strips S through X, however, were traversed 1/2 hr and 4-1/2 hr after contamination. Also, these trials were performed earlier in the year when the vegetation was somewhat less dense. In general, the same effects of soil moisture on pick up were observed. However, as a result of differences in terrain characteristics as well as in soil moisture, the pick-up and penetration from strips S through X were considerably less than from strips M through R.

In these trials, it frequently appeared that agent disseminated in smaller particles was more transferable and had greater penetrability than larger particles. Because of the variance in individual determinations, additional trials are required to establish the significance of the apparent effects of particle size on pick-up and penetration.

Date of trial	Terrain condition	Test strip	Contamination density	Approx particle sise	Simulated rainfall	Ro.
			g/sq m ± 0	194D	in. ± 0	■Vi
19 May 59	Normal	B C	5.81 ± 2.26 5.43 ± 1.32 5.30 ± 1.07	2.5 2.5 2.5	0 0 0	8. 9. 9
20 May 59	Normal.	D E F	4.23 ± 2.42 5.61 ± 2.03 6.03 ± 2.09	0.6 0.6 0.6	000	13 12 13
21 May 59	Wet after contamination	G H I	5.13 ± 1.54 4.60 ± 1.14 3.89 ± 0.87	2.5 2.5 2.5	0.76 ± 0.46 0.83 ± 0.44 0.53 ± 0.22	10 9 10
25 May 59	Wet after contamination	J K L	6.32 ± 2.94 6.50 ± 2.39 5.87 ± 2.83	0.6 0.6 0.6	0.76 ± 0.33 1.10 ± 0.26 0.50 ± 0.13	10. 10 10.
26 May 59	Wet before contamination	M N O	2.17 ± 0.98 2.28 ± 1.10 3.46 ± 0.66	2.5 2.5 2.5	X X X	9 10 10
27 May 59	Wet before contamination	P "Q R	7.00 ± 2.55 6.31 ± 3.19 5.32 ± 2.71	0.6 0.6 0.6	I I	10 10 10
2 Mar 59	Wet before con amination	S T U	2.27 ± 0.73 1.59 ± 0.40 1.67 ± 0.71	2.5 2.5 2.5	n n	9 11 9
4 Mar 59	Wet before contamination	V W	3.43 ± 0.57 1.59 ± 1.20 2.66 ± 0.75	0.6 0.6 0.6	n n	10 8 11

...

<sup>#</sup> Strips A thru F and M thru X were traversed 30 min after contamination; Strips G th ## Interval between first and second traversal was Thr for Strips G thru L,

I Rainfall not determined. Strips M thru R were wetted identically to Strip

IX Rainfall not determined. Terrain was naturally saturated with water.

Table 1

Effects of Moisture on the Pick-Up of VX From Terrain

<del></del>	Second Traversal			First Traversal*				Approx
	on cloth	Pick-Up	Roller	on cloth	Pick-Up	Roller	Simulated	particle
<del></del>	Bottom	Top	rate	Bottom	Top	rato	rainfall	sise
	ng	mg	m/min	ag	ng	m/min	in. $\pm \sigma$	ma 1940
AV Air	0	94	11.0	3.6	392	8.7	0	2.5
Strip C	o Ì	86	9.5	1.1	39 <b>2</b> 511	9.9	0	2.5
•	0	94 86 58	10.2	1.1 2.8	374	9.9	0	2.5
	0	184	13.7	0.9	6կկ 956	13.0	0	0.6
AT ALT	o l	109	13.4	0.7	956	12.5	0	0.6
	0.5	199	13.7	1.9	1,010	13.7	0	0.6
Av Air	7.3	25.4	10.2	113	53	10.3	0.76 + 0.46	2.5
Slight	1.3	12.0	9.7	30	33	9.7	0.83 王 0.山	2.5
travers	1.1	12.2	10.5	30 29	33 32	9.7 10.6	0.53 🛨 0.22	2.5
Av Air	33	59 67	10.5	62	75	10.9	0.76 + 0.33	0.6
Some st	33 43	67	10.1	37	47 69	10.0	1.10 - 0.26	0.6
Strip K	0.4	34.3	10.3	33	69	10.8	0.50 = 0.13	ე.6
AV Air	17.4	53 40 60	9.7	59	183	9.9	x	2.5
Standin	3.0 2.3	140	9.9	100	183	10.2	X	2.5 2.5
	2.3	60	9.4	51	375	10.1	X	2.5
Av Air	100	271	10.5	402	1,050	10.9	x	0.6
Standin	166	126	10.4	522	738	10.5	X X X	0.6
	713	270	10.6	2110	854	10.8	X	0.6
Av Air	9.0	55 36 59	10.9	10.4	168	9.7	n n	2.5
Strip U	2.0	36	11.3	11.6	122	11.6	IX.	2.5
	23.0	59	10.9	158	308	9.5	xx [	2.5
AV Air	10.0	130	10.7	13 14 14	158	10.1 8.6	II II	0.6
Strip W	3.0 4.0	27 46	10.1	र्भ ।	52	8.6	<u> </u>	0.6
	4.0	40	11.0	Щ.	164	11.0	<u> </u>	0.6

n a ter contamination; Strips G thru L were wetted 30 min after contamination and traversed 30 min afterward for Strips G thru L, 3 hr for Strips A thru F and M thru R, and h hr for Strips S thru I.

aturated with water.

CONFIDENTIAL

8

#### m Terrain

rerea.							
	on cloth	Remarks					
<u> </u>	Bottom						
<b>a</b> g	mg .						
94 86 88	0	Av Air Temp 71°F; Ground Temp 82°F; Relative Humidity 86%. Agent Purity 100%					
36	0	Strip C appeared rougher than A or B.					
8	0						
34	0						
)9 99	0	Av Air Temp 75°F; Ground Temp 88°F; Relative Humidity 79%. Agent Purity 99%.					
9	0.5						
25.4	7.3	Av Air Temp 75°F; Ground Temp 83°F; Relative Humidity 81%. Agent Purity 86%					
12.0	1.3	Slight quantities of standing water were present on strips during the first					
12.2	1.1	traversal.					
59	33	Av Air Temp 66°F; Ground Temp 82°F; Relative Humidity 50%. Agent Purity 96%.					
59 57	33 43	Some standing water present on all strips.					
4.3	0.4	Strip K was more uneven than Strips J and L.					
53	17.4	Av Air Temp 68°F; Ground Temp 77°F; Relative Humidity 63%. Agent Purity 82%.					
53 40 60	3.0	Standing water appeared only in Strip O.					
50	2.3	·					
71	100	Av Air Temp 74°F; Ground Temp 82°F; Relative Humidity 67%. Agent Puvity 94%.					
71 26 70	166	Standing water was present on Strip Q during first traversal.					
70	मञ						
55	9.0	Av Air Temp bhoff Ground Temp k757; Relative Humidity 675. Agent Parity 825.					
55 36	2.0	Strip U appeared rougher and wetter than Strips S or T.					
59	23.0						
30	10.0	Av Air Temp 50°F; Ground Temp 5h°F; Relative Humidity 36%. Agent Purity 63%.					
30 27 46	3.0	Strip W with standing water appeared rougher and wetter than Strips V and X.					
46	4.0						

and traversed 30 min afterwards. Hips S thru X.

Table 2
Comparative Values of Total Pick-Up and Penetration

Terrain	Particle	Test	First Traversal		Second Treversal	
condition	size of VX	strip	Total	Bottom Layer	Total_	ottom Loyer
	Large	A B C	% 0.27 0.38 0.28	0.0024 0.008 0.0020	% 0.064 0.064 0.044	<b>%</b> ი ი
Normal	Small	D Bi	0.61 0.68 0.67	0.0008 0.0004 0.0012	0.18 0.076 0.13	0 0 0.0004
Rain ofter	Large	G !	0.076 0.056 0.068	0.034 0.026 0.030	0.026 0.011 0.013	0.0055 0.0012 0.0012
contamination	S:na11	J K L	0.088 0.052 0.068	0.039 0.023 0.022	0.060 0.072 0.010	0.021 0.026 0.0004
lain before	Large	M N O	0.45 0.50 0.50	0.11 0.18 0.06	0.128 0.076 0.072	0.032 0.0052 0.0038
contamination	Small	P Q R	0.83 0.80 1.05	0.23 0.33 0.41	0.21 0.18 0.24	0.056 0.10 0.036
Wet before	Large	S T U	0.31 0.34 1.12	0.018 0.029 0.38	0.11 0.096 0.20	0.016 0.0052 0.056
contamination	Small	V W X	0.20 0.17 0.27	0.015 0.035 0.021	0.16 0.076 0.076	0.012 0.008 0.006

<sup>\*</sup>Pick-up per meter traversed by the cloth-covered roller, expressed as the percent of the initial contamination density found on both layers (total) and on bottom layer of cloth. Cloth dimensions: 24 x 42 in.

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

#### US ARMY RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING COMMAND EDGEWOOD CHEMICAL BIOLOGICAL CENTER 5183 BLACKHAWK ROAD ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21010-5424

ATTENTION OF

**RDCB-DSR-S** 

JUL 1 1 2016

MEMORANDUM THRU Director, Edgewood Chemical Biological Center, (RDCB-D/ Dr. Joseph Corriveau), 5183 Blackhawk Road, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21010-5424

FOR Defense Technical Information Center, 8725 John J. Kingman Road, Ft Belvoir, VA 22060

SUBJECT: Internal Request for Change in Distribution

- 1. This action is in response to an Edgewood Chemical Biological Center (ECBC) Internal Request for a Change in Distribution.
- 2. The attached listed documents have been reviewed by ECBC Subject Matter Experts and deemed suitable for the change in distribution to read "Approved for public release; distribution unlimited."
- 3. The point of contact is Adana Eilo, ECBC Security Specialist, (410) 436-2063 or adana.l.eilo.civ@mail.mil.

Encl

Security Manager

- 1. Manthie, J.H., Heitkamp, D.H. Dorsey, R.W., Stark, W.C., Bona, D.M., Moore, R.D., and Cameron, K.P., Mustard Contact Hazard, Correlation of Effects on Skin with Contamination Levels Recovered from Dental Dam and Painted Steel Surfaces, CRDEC-TR-88142, August 1988 (Dist. B. U. S. Government Agencies) DTIC: CBRNIAC-CB-009397
- 2. Manthei, J.H.; Heitkamp, D.H.; Dorsey, W.C.; Starke, W.C.; Braun, R.A.; Bona, D.M.; Moore, R.D.; Cameron, K.P.; Klein, J.M. *Toxic Hazard to the Rabbit from Direct and Vapor Contact with HD-Contaminated Plexiglas, Concrete, or XM40 Nylon Carrier Material*; CRDEC-TR-86072; U.S. Army Chemical Research, Development and Engineering Center: Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, 1986 (Dist. B. U. S. Government Agencies) **DTIC: ADB105323**
- 3. Manthei, J.H.; Heitkamp, W.C.; Starke, W.C.; Braun, R.A.; Bona, D.M.; Moore, R.D.; Cameron, K.P.; Heyl, W. C., *Toxicological Evaluation of the Contact and Vapor Hazards of VX and Thickened VX (TVX) in Rabbits*, CRDEC-TR-84072; U.S. Army Chemical Research, Development and Engineering Center: Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, 1985 (Dist. B. U. S. Government Agencies)

  DTIC: ADB095637
- 4. Reich, N., Interim Report CWL Traversal Program Phase B Pick-Up (Effects of Ground Moisture), CWL Technical Memorandum 33-26, U.S. Army Chemical Warfare Laboratories, Army Chemical center, MD, June 1960 (Dist. C. U. S. Government Agencies and their Contractors) DTIC: AD0318492
- 5. Reich, N. Interim Report CWL Traversal Program Phase B Pick Up, CWL Technical Memorandum 33-19, U.S. Army Chemical Warfare Laboratories, Army Chemical Center, MD, February 1959 (Dist. C. U. S. Government Agencies and their Contractors) DTIC: AD 306322
- 6. Reich, N. Interim Report CWL Traversal Program Phase A Persistence, CWL Technical Memorandum 33-18, U.S. Army Chemical Warfare Laboratories, Army Chemical Center, MD, February 1959 (Dist. C. U. S. Government Agencies and their Contractors)

DTIC: CBRNIAC-CB-047279

- 7. Deseret Test Center. Environmental impact statement for the study of the toxicity and fate of agent and residues in vegetation and soil, DTC Test CT-1 and CT-2, Deseret Test Center, Fort Douglas, UT, 1970. (Dist. B. U. S. Government Agencies) DTIC: CBRNIAC-CB-104377
- 8. Houle, M. D., Long, D. E., *Methodology Investigation Final report Repid Evaluation of Environmental Hazards: the fate of VX and GB in the Dugway Proving Ground Environment*, GPG Document No. DPG-FR-85-703U. S. Army Dugway Proving Ground, Dugway, UT, March 1989 (Dist. B. U. S. Government Agencies) **DTIC: ADB131330**